

Mint of the United States at Philadelphia, Pa.,

ASSAY DEPARTMENT,

August 14, 1888.

Sir

In regard to a letter from the Assayer in Charge at Denver Colo. complaining of a discrepancy in fineness of bullion shipped from that office; I will state that the Melt in question was composed of a number of small bars, and it assayed as follows 785.9 . 785.8. 785.9.

Having made a calculation of what the fineness should have been, I saw that there was a discrepancy, and accordingly made re-assays, ~~from~~ new samples, but with the same result. Not satisfied with this result, I had the bullion re-melted and assayed, with the fineness as reported.

The number of the Philadelphia

Mint of the United States at Philadelphia, Pa.,

ASSAY DEPARTMENT,

, 1888

Memorandum is 123 - Not 181 as
stated in the letter.

The Assayer at the Denver mint
will have to look for the discrepancy
at his institution, as we made every
effort to arrive at an agreement in
fineness

Very Respectfully
Jacob B. Eckfeldt
Assayer

Hon. Daniel M. Fox
Superintendent

NARA RG104, Entry 1, Box 153

Mint of the United States at Philadelphia, Pa.,
Assay Department,
August 14, 1888

Sir

In regard to a letter from the Assayer in charge at Denver Colo. complaining of a discrepancy in fineness of bullion shipped from that office; I will state that the Melt in question was composed of a number of small bars, and it assayed as follows 785.9, 785.8, 785.9. Having made a calculation of what the fineness should have been, I saw that there was a discrepancy, and accordingly made re-assays, from new samples, but with the same result. Not satisfied with this result, I had the bullion re-melted and assayed, with the fineness as reported.

The number of the Philadelphia Memorandum is 123 – not 181 as stated in the letter.

The Assayer at the Denver mint will have to look for the discrepancy at his institution, as we made every effort to arrive at an agreement in fineness.

Very Respectfully,
Jacob B. Eckfeldt
Assayer

Hon. Daniel M. Fox
Superintendent